

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/536,613	05/25/2005	Guo-Wei Qin	SERVIER 461 PCT	2275	
7590 04/28/2006			EXAMINER		
Hueschen and Sage			AULAKH, CHARANJIT		
Attorneys and Counselors 500 Columbia Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
350 East MIchigan Avenue			1625		
Kalamazoo, MI 49007-3856			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/536,613	QIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charanjit S. Aulakh	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 31-60 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-33,36,38-41,44 and 52-60</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 34,35,37,42,43 and 45-51 is/are object	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- - - - - - -				
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>	priority under 25 H.S.C. \$ 110(a)	(d) or (f)				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
\		~ .				
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	(PT∩_413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1 page</u> .	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/536,613 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

1. According to a preliminary amendment filed on May 25, 2005, the applicants have canceled claims 1-30 and furthermore, have added new claims 31-60.

2. Claims 31-60 are now pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 53-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for treating amnesia, does not reasonably provide enablement for treating or alleviating condition selected from deficiencies of memory associated with cerebral aging and neurodegenerative diseases. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The following eight different factors (see Ex parte Foreman, 230 USPQ at 547; Wands, In re, 858.F. 2d 731, 8 USPQ 2d 1400, Fed. Cir. 1988) must be considered in order for the specification to be enabling for what is being claimed:

Quantity of experimentation necessary, the amount of direction or guidance provided, presence or absence of working examples, the nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the relative skill of those in the art, the predictability or unpredictability and the breadth of claims. In the instant case, the specification is not enabling based on atleast four of the above mentioned eight different factors such as quantity of experimentation

Art Unit: 1625

necessary, the amount of direction or guidance provided, the state of the prior art, presence of working examples and the breadth of claims.

The instant specification demonstrates the anti-amnesic effect of instant compounds in Moris water maze test in the mouse as shown in example B on page 19 as well as mnemocognitive effects as shown in examples C and D on pages 20-21. Based on these teachings, the instant compounds will have utility in treating amnesia. There is no teaching either in the specification or prior art references provided showing deficiency of memory in cerebral aging and every known neurodegenerative disease such as Parkinson's disease, Pick's disease, Korsakoff's disease etc. and furthermore, there is no teaching that Morris water maze test, Social recognition test and object recognition test are well known tests for evaluating efficacy of new compounds for treating memory loss in cerebral aging and every known neurodegenerative disease. There is no guidance or direction provided how the instant compounds having anti-amnesic effect in an animal model will have therapeutic utility for treating or alleviating deficiencies of memory associated wit cerebral aging and all known neurodegenerative diseases. There are no working examples present showing efficacy of instant compounds in known animal models of memory loss of cerebral aging and every known neurodegenerative disease. The instant compounds of formula (I) encompasses hundreds of thousands of compounds based on the values of variables R1-R6, R5', R4', Y and X and therefore, in absence of such teachings, guidance and presence of working examples, it would require undue experimentation to demonstrate the efficacy of instant compounds in known animal models of memory loss of cerebral aging and

Application/Control Number: 10/536,613

Art Unit: 1625

every known neurodegenerative disease and hence their utility of treating these conditions.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 53-55, 57 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 53-55 and 60, the applicants mention about five or six specific diseases which are neurodegenerative diseases. Are these preffered embodiments? What are the other neurodegenerative diseases besides these five or six disease conditions? In claims 53 and 55, the term ---alleviating ---- is indefinite since the degree of alleviation (20%, 40%, 60%, 80% or 100%) is not defined and furthermore, it is not clear how this alleviation is being assessed following in vivo administration of the instant compounds?

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the

Art Unit: 1625

remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claims 53 and 55 recite the broad recitation living animal body, and the claims also recite a human which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

In claims 55, 57 and 60, the term –sinomenine compound --- is vague and indefinite since its meaning is not clear. Is it different from sinomenine?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 31-33, 36, 38-41, 44 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by lijima (J. Med. Chem., cited on applicant's form 1449). lijima discloses synthesis and antinociceptive activity of 7-methoxycodeine. The compounds 1, 2 and 3 (see scheme 1 on page 1321) disclosed by lijima anticipate the instant claims when R2 represents H, both R3 and R4 and R5 and R6 together form oxo or one of them represents an oxo group and either R3 or R6 represents an alkoxy group in the instant compounds of formula (I).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 34, 35, 37, 42, 43 and 45-51 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/536,613 Page 6

Art Unit: 1625

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charanjit S. Aulakh whose telephone number is (571)272-0678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571)272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charanjit S. Aulakh
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1625